1 2 3 4	Steven Sugarman New Mexico Bar No. 5717 appearing pro hac vice 347 County Road 55A Cerrillos, New Mexico 87010 (505) 672-5082 stevensugarman@hotmail.com	
5	Attorney for WildEarth Guardians	
6		
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
9	TUCSON DIVISION	
10	WILDEARTH GUARDIANS,	
11	Plaintiff,)) No. 12 151 DCC
12	vs.	No. 13-151-RCC
13 14	UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE and UNITED STATES FOREST SERVICE,)) PLAINTIFF'S) UNOPPOSED MOTION) TO VACATE
15	Defendants.	SURREPLY DUE DATE
16		
17	On March 20, 2020, this Court entered an order extending the deadline for	
18	WildEarth Guardians' optional surreply brief in opposition to the Federal Defendants'	
19	pending motions to dissolve the injunction, ECF Doc. Nos. 112 and 126, until and	
20	through April 27, 2020. ECF Doc. No. 134. Plaintiff WildEarth Guardians now moves	
21	this Court for an Order vacating the surreply due date to facilitate ongoing discussions	
22	and negotiations between the parties. The Federal Defendants do not oppose this motion.	
23	As grounds for the requested extension, the undersigned states as follows:	
24	(1) As the parties informed the Court in their Second Joint Status Report of	
25	April 23, 2020, ECF Doc. No. 135, the principals of two of the parties – the Executive	
26	Director of Plaintiff WildEarth Guardians and the Acting Regional Forester of Defendant	
27	U.S. Forest Service with responsibility for Arizona and New Mexico National Forests –	
28	remain engaged in party-to-party discussions and negotiations to conclude this lawsuit.	

These discussions are continuing, and are being supported by individuals from their respective staffs who are engaged in parallel discussions and negotiations.

- (2) Deferring further briefing and litigation in connection with the pending motions to dissolve will facilitate the on-going discussions and negotiations between the parties, which it is hoped will lead to a full and final resolution of the parties' dispute in this matter and to a negotiated conclusion of this litigation.
- (3) Deferring further proceedings in connection with the pending motions to dissolve is also in the interests of judicial economy and efficiency, as resolution of the pending motions to dissolve would become moot in the event that the parties' joint efforts at resolving their dispute are successful.

For the foregoing reasons, WildEarth Guardians requests that the due date for the surreply brief be vacated. If the parties are unable to resolve this litigation through their ongoing discussions and negotiations, then the parties will so advise the Court and will ask that a deadline for the optional surreply brief in opposition to the pending motions to dissolve the injunction be reinstated.¹

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Steven Sugarman
Steven Sugarman
347 County Road 55A
Cerrillos, New Mexico 87010
(505) 672-5082
stevensugarman@hotmail.com

Currently, the parties have committed to the Court that they will file a Third Joint Status Report as to the status of their continuing discussions and negotiation by May 22, 2020. ECF Doc. No. 135.

WildEarth Guardians v. U.S. Forest Service, et al. Civil No. 13-151-RCC

Motion to Vacate Surreply Due Date P age 2

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on April 24, 2020, I electronically filed the foregoing PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO VACATE SURREPLY DUE DATE with the Clerk of the Court via the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such to the attorneys of record. <u>/s/ Steven Sugarman</u> Steven Sugarman WildEarth Guardians v. U.S. Forest Service, et al. Motion to Vacate Surreply Due Date Civil No. 13-151-RCC Page 3